



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vugnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/079,014	02/19/2002	Andrew L. Pansini	032698/3	5235
•	7590 08/08/2003			
John K. Uilkema, Esq. Thelen Reid & Priest LLP P.O. Box 190187			EXAMINER	
			FETSUGA, ROBERT M	
San Francisco, CA 94119			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3751	
			DATE MAILED: 08/08/2003	5'

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 <u>1</u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/079,014	PANSINI, ANDREW L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert M. Fetsuga	3751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30.	<u>June 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 15 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,13,14 and 16</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 February 2002</u> is/are	e: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 						
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/079,014
Art Unit: 3751

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged. The requirement is still deemed to be proper and is therefore made final. Accordingly, claim 15 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b).
- 2. The drawings are objected to because reference character "16a" (pg. 4 ln. 6) is missing therefrom. Correction is required.

Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in response to this Office action. Any proposal by applicant for amendment of the drawings to cure defects must consist of two parts:

- a) A separate letter to the draftsperson in accordance with MPEP 608.02(r); and
- b) A print or pen-and-ink sketch showing changes in red ink in accordance with MPEP 608.02(v).

IMPORTANT NOTE: The filing of new formal drawings to correct the noted defect may be deferred until the application is allowed by the examiner, but the print or pen-and-ink sketch with proposed corrections shown in red ink is required in response to this Office action, and may not be deferred.

3. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37

Art Unit: 3751

CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: Proper antecedent basis for the vertically adjustable "member" set forth in claim 5 and the "method" set forth in claim 16 could not be found in the specification. Applicant is reminded claim terminology in mechanical cases should appear in the descriptive portion of the specification by reference to the drawing(s).

4. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 13 recites "an adjustable platform." Implementation of this subject matter is neither taught by the instant disclosure nor evident to the examiner.

- 5. The claim hierarchy does not appear to be in accordance with MPEP 608.01(m). Claims remaining at allowance may require renumbering.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 3751

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1, 2, 4, 9 and 16 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Whitten, Jr. '111.

The Whitten, Jr. '111 (Whitten) reference discloses a tank/chamber 48 including a fill device 56 and a drain device 45; a swimming pool 10; and a skimmer 14, as claimed.

8. Claims 1, 2, 4-7 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Grewing.

The Grewing reference discloses a tank/chamber 25 including a fill device 41 and a drain device 35; a swimming pool 13; a vertically adjustable member 53,54; and adjusting means 52, as claimed.

9. Claims 1-4, 8 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by St. Ledger.

Art Unit: 3751

The St. Ledger reference discloses a tank/chamber 3 including a fill device 20 and a drain device 15; a swimming pool 8; and adjusting means 16,24,25, as claimed.

10. Claims 1-4, 8-11 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over St. Ledger and Maxhimer.

Re claim 11, the St. Ledger chamber includes plates forming the walls thereof.

Although St. Ledger pool level control device does not include a skimmer, as claimed, attention is directed to the Maxhimer reference which discloses an analogous pool level control device which further includes a skimmer (col. 3 lns. 42-53). Therefore, in consideration of Maxhimer, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to associate a skimmer with the St. Ledger pool level control device in order to monitor the water level in a swimming pool of the type having a skimmer.

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The Langill, Selsted, Schmidt and Whitten, Jr. '107 references disclose various tanks/chambers having features in common with the instant invention.

12. Claim 12 is allowed.

Art Unit: 3751

Page 6

13. Applicant is referred to MPEP 714.02 and 608.01(o) in responding to this Office action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert M. Fetsuga at telephone number 703/308-1506 who can be most easily reached Monday through Thursday.

Robert M. Fetsuga Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3751